

PROJECT ZERO

Data Monitoring Packet

May, 2002
Statewide Implementation

Prepared by:

Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division
Budget, Analysis and Financial Management Administration
Michigan Family Independence Agency

PROJECT ZERO SITES

Phase I - 6 Sites 7/01/96

Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alpena*	7/30/1999
Menominee*	5/20/1998
Midland*	12/16/1998
Ottawa*	9/15/1997
Wayne:	
Romulus*	6/22/1999
Tireman*	7/28/1999

Phase II - 6 Sites 10/01/97

Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Berrien*	6/22/1999
Hillsdale*	7/30/1999
Kent*	8/31/1999
Manistee*	5/98, 4/99, 6/99
Wayne:	
Greydale*	2/29/2000
Warren/Conner*	12/21/1999

Phase III - 23 Sites 10/01/98

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Calhoun*	9/12/2001	Leelanau*	5/99, 6/99, 8/99, 9/99	Wayne:	
Genesee		Benzie*	5/99, 6/99, 8/99	Forest/Ellery*	7/26/1999
Mecosta*	7/19/1999	Charlevoix*	1/11/1999	Fort Wayne*	12/28/1999
Newaygo*	5/99, 8/99	Emmet*	4/99, 8/99, 9/99	Fullerton*	3/11/2000
St. Clair*	6/15/2000	Barry*	5/21/1999	Hamtramck*	9/27/2000
Lake*	6/09/1999	Eaton*	8/10/1999	Lincoln Park*	11/15/1999
Mason*	6/99, 11/99	Ionia*	11/30/2000	Taylor*	09/29/1999
Oceana*	6/99	Montcalm*	10/04/2000		

* Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

[lee]cozero 2001.xls/10-10-01

PROJECT ZERO SITES, *continued*

Phase IV - 35 Sites 10/01/99

Upper Peninsula Sites		Date Zero Achieved	
Alger*		10/01/1999	
Schoolcraft*		6/07/2000	
Delta*		6/2000	
Gogebic*		2/09/2001	
Ontonagon*		8/23/2000	
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Antrim*	3/16/2000	Oakland*	3/29/2000
Branch*	5/18/2000	Oscoda*	3/31/2000
Cass*	3/07/2000	Montmorency*	10/13/1999
Clinton*	5/27/2000	Presque Isle*	10/12/1999
Shiawassee*	5/16/2001	St. Joseph*	7/28/2000
Gladwin*	10/29/1999	Sanilac	
Grand Traverse*	10/12/1999	Van Buren*	7/28/2000
Kalamazoo*	9/29/2000	Wexford/Missaukee*	10/05/1999
Kalkaska*	10/01/1999		
Lenawee*	3/29/2000		
Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Wayne:		Greenfield/Joy*	10/31/2000
Medbury/Concord*	5/31/2000	Inkster*	3/22/2000
McNichols/Goddard*	9/27/2000	Schoolcraft/Stansbury*	9/29/2000
Maddelein*	8/01/2000	Glendale/Trumbull*	11/13/2000
Kercheval/Townsend*	8/01/2000	Grand River/Warren*	8/17/2000
Redford*	1/19/2000	Highland Park*	10/24/2000

* Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

[lee]cozero 2001.xls/06-06-01

PROJECT ZERO SITES, *continued*

Phase V - 19 Sites 6/01/2000

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alcona*	9/25/2000	Keweenaw*	10/11/2000
Allegan*	8/17/2000	Livingston*	6/20/2000
Baraga*	7/26/2000	Luce*	9/13/2000
Cheboygan*	7/20/2000	Mackinac*	7/15/2000
Chippewa		Marquette*	2/23/2001
Crawford*	8/01/2000	Monroe*	9/08/2000
Dickinson*	7/31/2000	Ogemaw*	8/08/2000
Houghton*	4/24/2001	Otsego*	9/9/2000
Iosco*	9/22/2000	Roscommon*	10/09/2000
Iron*	10/11/2000		

Phase VI - 15 Sites 10/01/2000

Statewide Implementation

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Arenac*	8/06/2001	Lapeer	
Bay		Macomb	
Clare*	3/23/2001	Muskegon	
Gratiot*	11/03/2000	Osceola*	5/17/2001
Huron*	10/11/2000	Saginaw	
Ingham		Tuscola	
Isabella*	4/03/2001	Washtenaw	
Jackson			

* Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

[lee]cozero 2001.xls/10-10-01

Project Zero

Project Zero is a part of the Family Independence Agency's continuing welfare reform initiative, *To Strengthen Michigan Families (TSMF)*, which assists recipients making the transition from dependency to self-sufficiency.

Project Zero began in 1996 as a small research project in six areas of the state designed to identify certain personal characteristics, demographic information, recipient strengths and barriers to employment--real and perceived--of FIP recipients.

The purpose of Project Zero is twofold. First, it is to identify, through the survey noted above, barriers to employment that are unique to recipients without earned income. Secondly, the project utilized the data findings to assist state agencies and community organizations to develop and implement programs and services in all sites aimed at decreasing the number of recipients without earned income.

The first six Project Zero sites became operational in July, 1996 and additional sites were phased in over a period of years. Final statewide implementation was complete in October, 2000.

Project Zero Data Monitoring Packet

Data for the Project Zero data monitoring packet is obtained from the Family Independence Agency's Client Information System (CIS). The information is point-in-time data and is obtained at the beginning of each month. This data reflects the case transactions that occurred during the previous month.

Due to the nature of the public assistance caseload, the data displayed here represents a picture of a population that is constantly changing. Families move on and off public assistance, gain or lose employment and move into or out of the project area. Cases that are transferred into a project site from other parts of the state are immediately counted as part of the target caseload.

With statewide implementation (effective October 1, 2000) Project Zero is now a service delivery mechanism for all clients. The goal is 100 percent employment for all target cases. However, there are additional measures of success in Project Zero, including:

- The drop in the number and percentage of cases without earned income.
- Movement of recipients out of public assistance as demonstrated by the declining caseload.
- An increasing number of recipients who achieve self-sufficiency and improved lives for themselves and their children.
- Community collaboration and responsibility in developing support systems and removing employment barriers.

The goal of Project Zero is to reduce to zero the number of FIP households that have **no** earned income. Thus all cases required to work will be employed and have earned income. Cases are required to work if there is **any member** age 16 or older who:

- is a mandatory Work First participant *or*
- is not employed full time *or*
- is sanctioned or penalized *and*
- the case is open more than 60 days.

The formula for determining the number of cases required to work is:

(FIP cases) minus (cases open less than 60 days) minus (Work First deferred cases, i.e., those not required to work).

Recipients are deferred from Work First or are meeting participation requirements and are **not** required to work if they meet one of the criteria listed below:

- Under 16 or over 65 years of age.
- Minor parents -- Must be in school full-time as a condition of eligibility. Those with a high school degree are referred to Work First.
- Ineligible grantees -- Relatives whose income/assets make them ineligible. Receiving assistance for the child only.
- Incapacitated due to injury, physical or mental illness, which is expected to last less than 3 months.
- Personally provide care for an incapacitated household member.
- A full-time student age 16 to 18 in elementary/secondary school or equivalent vocational/technical training.
- A parent of a child under 3 months.
- Pregnancy is not a reason for deferral unless medical complications exist and are verified by a physician.

- Applicant for SSI through the Social Security Administration.
- Recipient of State Disability Assistance.
- Full-time volunteer for VISTA, Job Corps or Americorp.
- Participants in transitional housing programs funded by HUD or MSHDA.
- Clients experiencing a temporary critical event, such as homelessness or domestic abuse, may be given a temporary deferral up to 3 months at local office discretion.

The focus of Project Zero is on achieving 100% employment for clients in the project sites who are required to work. To help clients obtain employment, FIA staff will be working with them to eliminate barriers to employment and promote independence.

Additional resources are being developed within the agency as well as efforts to coordinate with other departments such as Transportation, MSHDA, and the Department of Career Development. Community agencies are also being asked for their assistance in providing resources for the project effort. Training in Strength Based/Solution Focused programs has taken place for staff involved in the project.


Data Source: SW-210.

[lee]word/project0/pz2001.doc./06-06-01

PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL STATEWIDE SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income




Percent Without Earned Income	34%	35%	36%	37%	38%	39%	41%	43%	43%	44%	47%	48%
Percent With Earned Income	66%	65%	64%	63%	62%	61%	59%	57%	57%	56%	53%	52%
Cases Without Earned Income	5,808	6,147	6,417	6,711	6,895	7,545	8,362	9,428	8,835	8,800	9,867	9,509
Cases With Earned Income	11,521	11,297	11,301	11,384	11,412	11,703	12,092	12,376	11,476	11,067	11,292	10,327
TOTAL Target Group Cases	17,329	17,444	17,718	18,095	18,307	19,248	20,454	21,804	20,311	19,867	21,159	19,836

PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL WAYNE COUNTY SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



Percent Without Earned Income	42%	43%	44%	45%	46%	49%	50%	52%	52%	53%	55%	56%
Percent With Earned Income	58%	57%	56%	55%	54%	51%	50%	48%	48%	47%	45%	44%
Cases Without Earned Income	3,292	3,435	3,635	3,845	3,968	4,399	4,752	5,192	4,873	4,886	5,415	5,445
Cases With Earned Income	4,628	4,582	4,588	4,645	4,603	4,643	4,744	4,852	4,493	4,258	4,490	4,321
TOTAL Target Group Cases	7,920	8,017	8,223	8,490	8,571	9,042	9,496	10,044	9,366	9,144	9,905	9,766

Wayne County sites Romulus, Tireman, Greydale, Warren/Conner, Forest/Ellery, Fort Wayne, Fullerton, Hamtramc, Lincoln Park, Taylor, Medbury/Concord, McNichols/Goddard, Maddelein, Kercheval/Townsend, Redford, Greenfield/Joy, Inkster, Schoolcraft/Stansbury, Glendale/Trumbull, Grand River/Warren and Highland Pa


[rm]jpz2002.xls, sh 1/06-06-2002

PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL OUTSTATE SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income

Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



Percent Without Earned Income	27%	29%	29%	30%	30%	31%	33%	36%	36%	37%	40%	40%
Percent With Earned Income	73%	71%	71%	70%	70%	69%	67%	64%	64%	63%	60%	60%
Cases Without Earned Income	2,516	2,712	2,782	2,866	2,927	3,146	3,610	4,236	3,962	3,914	4,452	4,064
Cases With Earned Income	6,893	6,715	6,713	6,739	6,809	7,060	7,348	7,524	6,983	6,809	6,802	6,006
TOTAL Target Group Cases	9,409	9,427	9,495	9,605	9,736	10,206	10,958	11,760	10,945	10,723	11,254	10,070

ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, MAY 2002

COUNTY	September 1992* AFDC Caseload	Total FIP Caseload	Number Open < 60 Days	Number of Work First Deferred Cases	TARGET GROUP CASES		
					Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
1 Alcona	155	48	8	30	10	20%	80%
2 Alger	93	20	4	14	2	100%	0%
3 Allegan	1,118	283	43	197	43	51%	49%
4 Alpena	587	137	32	90	15	93%	7%
5 Antrim	246	51	9	40	2	100%	0%
6 Arenac	387	95	8	76	11	55%	45%
7 Baraga	184	26	7	16	3	67%	33%
8 Barry	671	162	21	121	20	90%	10%
9 Bay	2,438	628	85	344	199	56%	44%
10 Benzie	157	27	9	17	1	100%	0%
11 Berrien	4,351	1,475	230	1,014	231	71%	29%
12 Branch	652	148	31	66	51	51%	49%
13 Calhoun	3,531	1,116	207	695	214	56%	44%
14 Cass	1,032	246	56	176	14	93%	7%
15 Charlevoix	282	46	9	33	4	100%	0%
16 Cheboygan	412	94	15	72	7	43%	57%
17 Chippewa	552	135	31	87	17	76%	24%
18 Clare	938	215	27	153	35	69%	31%
19 Clinton	502	107	25	63	19	79%	21%
20 Crawford	258	59	14	33	12	50%	50%
21 Delta	667	157	26	75	56	77%	23%
22 Dickinson	286	75	22	43	10	80%	20%
23 Eaton	886	304	47	180	77	79%	21%
24 Emmet	253	51	10	35	6	50%	50%
25 Genesee	16,817	5,946	625	3,367	1,954	64%	36%
26 Gladwin	597	112	14	83	15	60%	40%
27 Gogebic	303	95	16	53	26	46%	54%
28 Grand Traverse	547	125	14	96	15	87%	13%
29 Gratiot	753	134	26	92	16	69%	31%
30 Hillsdale	674	154	39	74	41	46%	54%
31 Houghton	573	115	24	76	15	87%	13%
32 Huron	566	92	11	49	32	59%	41%
33 Ingham	6,048	1,710	254	1,027	429	65%	35%
34 Ionia	821	220	50	126	44	48%	52%
35 Iosco	427	131	23	76	32	56%	44%
36 Iron	168	61	17	34	10	80%	20%
37 Isabella	923	247	42	141	64	59%	41%
38 Jackson	3,136	1,083	159	638	286	51%	49%
39 Kalamazoo	4,256	1,399	213	787	399	48%	52%
40 Kalkaska	257	38	8	25	5	40%	60%
41 Kent	7,803	3,795	570	2,411	814	59%	41%
42 Keweenaw	15	4	0	2	2	100%	0%

* September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

[rml]PZMay02.xls/06-10-2002

ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, MAY 2002

COUNTY	September 1992* AFDC Caseload	Total FIP Caseload	Number Open < 60 Days	Number of Work First Deferred Cases	TARGET GROUP CASES		
					Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
43 Lake	362	119	18	76	25	64%	36%
44 Lapeer	908	200	39	111	50	52%	48%
45 Leelanau	113	33	8	23	2	50%	0%
46 Lenawee	1,495	346	70	229	47	96%	4%
47 Livingston	720	114	28	80	6	100%	0%
48 Luce	111	50	3	30	17	53%	47%
49 Mackinac	95	21	1	18	2	100%	0%
50 Macomb	6,108	1,808	205	1,173	430	64%	36%
51 Manistee	400	94	14	64	16	56%	44%
52 Marquette	848	202	43	111	48	69%	31%
53 Mason	503	151	27	96	28	61%	39%
54 Mecosta	745	163	27	118	18	94%	6%
55 Menominee	243	100	25	60	15	93%	7%
56 Midland	1,169	243	35	148	60	63%	37%
57 Missaukee*	263						
58 Monroe	2,000	504	79	356	69	59%	41%
59 Montcalm	1,134	250	31	166	53	42%	58%
60 Montmorency	202	49	11	27	11	27%	73%
61 Muskegon	4,660	2,270	264	1,107	899	39%	61%
62 Newaygo	765	253	52	173	28	43%	57%
63 Oakland	10,819	2,847	330	1,909	608	64%	36%
64 Oceana	483	201	38	110	53	75%	25%
65 Ogemaw	545	148	29	93	26	69%	31%
66 Ontonagon	124	39	3	21	15	73%	27%
67 Osceola	497	142	28	85	29	62%	38%
68 Oscoda	172	50	8	32	10	80%	20%
69 Otsego	191	62	12	42	8	87%	13%
70 Ottawa	1,238	382	64	249	69	49%	51%
71 Presque Isle	189	33	3	28	2	50%	50%
72 Roscommon	572	161	18	112	31	74%	26%
73 Saginaw	7,302	3,139	299	1,545	1,295	63%	37%
74 St. Clair	2,745	803	145	380	278	57%	43%
75 St. Joseph	1,026	267	56	189	22	100%	0%
76 Sanilac	729	173	46	89	38	45%	55%
77 Schoolcraft	199	43	9	24	10	40%	60%
78 Shiawassee	1,196	257	49	147	61	87%	13%
79 Tuscola	958	211	45	118	48	54%	46%
80 Van Buren	1,811	538	93	344	101	63%	37%
81 Washtenaw	3,048	943	167	535	241	51%	49%
82 Wayne	90,574	28,219	2,771	15,682	9,766	44%	56%
83 Wexford/Missaukee**	618	222	40	139	43	58%	42%
Statewide Total	213,202	67,016	8,314	38,866	19,836	52%	48%

* September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

** Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

[rml]PZMay02.xls/06-10-2002

ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, MAY 2002

WAYNE COUNTY DISTRICT OFFICES	September 1992* AFDC Caseload	Total FIP Caseload	Number Open < 60 Days	Number of Work First Deferred Cases	TARGET GROUP CASES		
					Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
ZONE VII							
55 Hamtramck	4,758	988	76	645	267	59%	41%
57 Medbury/Concord	2,714	1,178	80	532	566	41%	59%
59 McNichols/Goddard	4,346	1,246	118	650	478	35%	65%
71 Harper/Gratiot***	3,777						
73 Forest/Ellery	2,594	1,334	101	728	505	39%	61%
74 Kercheval/Townsend	2,815	962	92	505	365	52%	48%
76 Maddelein**		2,263	237	1,195	831	39%	61%
79 Warren/Conner	2,943	1,532	140	794	598	39%	61%
TOTAL	23,947	9,503	844	5,049	3,610	42%	58%
ZONE VIII							
13 Redford	3,970	670	53	458	159	47%	53%
14 Lincoln Park	3,092	889	87	562	240	57%	43%
15 Greydale/Grand River	4,706	2,098	252	1,207	639	47%	53%
16 Romulus	2,782	726	97	466	163	45%	55%
17 Greenfield/Joy	4,385	1,807	191	1,118	498	49%	51%
18 Taylor	3,328	728	99	428	201	46%	54%
19 Inkster	3,001	1,408	118	799	491	55%	45%
35 Schoolcraft/Stansbury	4,131	1,817	211	982	624	43%	57%
TOTAL	29,395	10,143	1,108	6,020	3,015	49%	51%
ZONE IX							
32 Tireman/Central	3,223	1,812	157	853	802	31%	69%
39 Fullerton/Jeffries	4,303	1,617	165	871	581	43%	57%
41 Fort Wayne	3,343	1,504	114	979	411	49%	51%
43 Glendale/Trumbull	2,671	1,894	181	995	718	50%	50%
49 Grand River/Warren	2,050	889	124	469	296	51%	49%
56 Highland Park	2,383	815	70	415	330	43%	57%
TOTAL	17,973	8,531	811	4,582	3,138	43%	57%
99 WAYNE - OTHER	1	42	8	31	3	33%	67%
WAYNE COUNTY TOTAL	71,316	28,219	2,771	15,682	9,766	44%	56%
OUTSTATE TOTAL	122,628	38,797	5,543	23,184	10,070	60%	40%
STATE TOTALS	213,203	67,016	8,314	38,866	19,836	52%	48%
PROJECT SITE TOTAL	N/A	67,016	8,314	38,866	19,836	52%	48%

* September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

** Maddelein East and Maddelein West have been combined into Maddelein# 76. Lafayette/Rosa Parks and Schaefer/Six Mile are no longer family services districts.

*** The Magnolia District was closed 11/2000. The Harper/Gratiot District was closed 2/2001.

Oakman/Grand River is no longer a general services district.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

COUNTY	JULY, 1996			APRIL, 2002			MAY, 2002			
	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
1 Alcona	73	26	36%	9	7	78%	10	8	80%	14%
2 Alger	53	23	43%	4	0	0%	2	0	0%	0%
3 Allegan	402	217	54%	55	25	45%	43	21	49%	-16%
4 Alpena	294	106	36%	33	8	24%	15	1	7%	-88%
5 Antrim	80	36	45%	3	0	0%	2	0	0%	0%
6 Arenac	175	75	43%	21	7	33%	11	5	45%	-29%
7 Baraga	104	54	52%	9	2	0%	3	1	33%	-50%
8 Barry	254	114	45%	24	3	13%	20	2	10%	-33%
9 Bay	1,397	566	41%	246	102	41%	199	88	44%	-14%
10 Benzie	46	19	41%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	0%
11 Berrien	2,031	1,073	53%	255	63	25%	231	66	29%	5%
12 Branch	245	131	53%	75	37	49%	51	25	49%	-32%
13 Calhoun	1,681	913	54%	264	111	42%	214	95	44%	-14%
14 Cass	471	307	65%	24	0	0%	14	1	7%	0%
15 Charlevoix	75	33	44%	5	1	20%	4	0	0%	-100%
16 Cheboygan	160	58	36%	13	6	46%	7	4	57%	-33%
17 Chippewa	233	115	49%	38	19	50%	17	4	24%	-79%
18 Clare	495	242	49%	56	23	41%	35	11	31%	-52%
19 Clinton	223	91	41%	21	5	24%	19	4	21%	-20%
20 Crawford	159	65	41%	12	3	25%	12	6	50%	100%
21 Delta	411	207	50%	55	6	11%	56	13	23%	117%
22 Dickinson	185	105	57%	14	0	0%	10	2	20%	0%
23 Eaton	463	234	51%	70	8	11%	77	16	21%	0%
24 Emmet	102	40	39%	7	1	14%	6	3	50%	200%
25 Genesee	9,926	6,135	62%	2,052	719	35%	1,954	701	36%	-3%
26 Gladwin	269	91	34%	23	8	35%	15	6	40%	-25%
27 Gogebic	220	144	65%	31	16	52%	26	14	54%	-13%
28 Grand Traverse	201	87	43%	17	4	24%	15	2	13%	-50%
29 Gratiot	378	201	53%	19	4	21%	16	5	31%	25%
30 Hillsdale	228	129	57%	42	19	45%	41	22	54%	16%
31 Houghton	307	118	38%	30	6	20%	15	2	13%	-67%
32 Huron	196	76	39%	40	16	40%	32	13	41%	-19%
33 Ingham	3,323	1,595	48%	466	167	36%	429	152	35%	-9%
34 Ionia	355	179	50%	67	38	57%	44	23	52%	-39%
35 Iosco	194	87	45%	46	23	50%	32	14	44%	-39%
36 Iron	97	45	46%	16	0	0%	10	2	20%	0%
37 Isabella	420	206	49%	76	25	33%	64	26	41%	4%
38 Jackson	1,620	895	55%	276	133	48%	286	139	49%	5%
39 Kalamazoo	2,054	1,225	60%	455	233	51%	399	208	52%	-11%
40 Kalkaska	68	34	50%	3	0	0%	5	3	60%	0%
41 Kent	3,889	2,383	61%	859	350	41%	814	334	41%	-5%
42 Keweenaw	4	2	50%	1	0	0%	2	0	0%	0%

Note : Percents may not add due to rounding.

[rm]PZEICMay02.xls/06-10-2002

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

COUNTY	JULY, 1996			APRIL, 2002			MAY, 2002			
	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
43 Lake	159	91	57%	29	15	52%	25	9	36%	-40%
44 Lapeer	309	134	43%	64	30	47%	50	24	48%	-20%
45 Leelanau	25	11	44%	1	0	0%	2	1	0%	0%
46 Lenawee	697	392	56%	68	9	13%	47	2	4%	-78%
47 Livingston	272	141	52%	11	4	36%	6	0	0%	-100%
48 Luce	74	35	47%	14	7	50%	17	8	47%	14%
49 Mackinac	44	23	52%	4	0	0%	2	0	0%	0%
50 Macomb	3,358	1,791	53%	512	188	37%	430	155	36%	-18%
51 Manistee	199	94	47%	25	10	40%	16	7	44%	-30%
52 Marquette	481	183	38%	59	20	34%	48	15	31%	-25%
53 Mason	222	101	45%	42	14	33%	28	11	39%	-21%
54 Mecosta	384	206	54%	42	9	21%	18	1	6%	-89%
55 Menominee	116	57	49%	26	10	38%	15	1	7%	-90%
56 Midland	676	284	42%	81	26	32%	60	22	37%	-15%
57 Missaukee*	127	54	43%							
58 Monroe	948	466	49%	87	28	32%	69	28	41%	0%
59 Montcalm	422	229	54%	75	35	47%	53	31	58%	-11%
60 Montmorency	86	30	35%	13	9	69%	11	8	73%	-11%
61 Muskegon	2,344	1,370	58%	937	602	64%	899	548	61%	-9%
62 Newaygo	297	183	62%	30	18	60%	28	16	57%	-11%
63 Oakland	6,042	3,631	60%	687	232	34%	608	216	36%	-7%
64 Oceana	191	83	43%	57	8	14%	53	13	25%	63%
65 Ogemaw	268	97	36%	38	13	34%	26	8	31%	-38%
66 Ontonagon	60	34	57%	17	8	47%	15	4	27%	-50%
67 Osceola	266	141	53%	39	12	31%	29	11	38%	-8%
68 Oscoda	91	44	48%	12	2	17%	10	2	20%	0%
69 Otsego	72	33	46%	11	3	27%	8	1	13%	-67%
70 Ottawa	376	161	43%	66	25	38%	69	35	51%	40%
71 Presque Isle	65	24	37%	2	1	0%	2	1	50%	0%
72 Roscommon	307	118	38%	36	5	14%	31	8	26%	60%
73 Saginaw	4,616	2,435	53%	1,346	520	39%	1,295	485	37%	-7%
74 St. Clair	1,207	587	49%	317	140	44%	278	120	43%	-14%
75 St. Joseph	396	248	63%	47	8	17%	22	0	0%	-100%
76 Sanilac	224	85	38%	45	23	51%	38	21	55%	-9%
77 Schoolcraft	121	66	55%	16	9	56%	10	6	60%	-33%
78 Shiawassee	488	223	46%	77	13	17%	61	8	13%	-38%
79 Tuscola	355	155	44%	69	26	38%	48	22	46%	-15%
80 Van Buren	756	396	52%	108	34	31%	101	37	37%	9%
81 Washtenaw	1,663	970	58%	248	116	47%	241	119	49%	3%
82 Wayne	60,090	46,029	77%	9,905	5,415	55%	9,766	5,445	56%	1%
83 Wexford**	242	117	48%	63	22	35%	43	18	42%	-18%
Statewide Total	122,697	80,034	65%	21,159	9,867	47%	19,836	9,509	48%	-4%

* Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford.
 Note : Percents may not add due to rounding.

[rm]PZEICMay02.xls/06-10-2002

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

WAYNE COUNTY DISTRICT OFFICES	JULY, 1996			APRIL, 2002			MAY, 2002			
	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
ZONE VII										
55 Hamtramck	2,204	1,710	78%	289	109	38%	267	110	41%	1%
57 Medbury/Concord	1,950	1,588	81%	552	327	59%	566	334	59%	2%
59 McNichols/Goddard	3,332	2,674	80%	486	318	65%	478	310	65%	-3%
71 Harper/Gratiot**	2,615	2,145	82%							
73 Forest/Ellery	1,534	1,156	75%	527	319	61%	505	308	61%	-3%
74 Kercheval/Townsend	1,684	1,265	75%	411	210	51%	365	176	48%	-16%
76 Maddelein*				830	491	59%	831	504	61%	3%
79 Warren/Conner	1,997	1,682	84%	571	346	61%	598	362	61%	5%
TOTAL	15,316	12,220	80%	3,666	2,120	58%	3,610	2,104	58%	-1%
ZONE VIII										
13 Redford	2,181	1,520	70%	168	83	49%	159	85	53%	2%
14 Lincoln Park	1,946	1,303	67%	248	101	41%	240	103	43%	2%
15 Greydale/Grand River	3,115	2,473	79%	642	337	52%	639	336	53%	0%
16 Romulus	1,308	741	57%	185	94	51%	163	90	55%	-4%
17 Greenfield/Joy	2,977	2,352	79%	516	241	47%	498	253	51%	5%
18 Taylor	1,988	1,203	61%	232	127	55%	201	109	54%	-14%
19 Inkster	2,826	1,813	64%	516	222	43%	491	219	45%	-1%
35 Schoolcraft/Stansbury	3,032	2,349	77%	620	352	57%	624	353	57%	0%
TOTAL	19,373	13,754	71%	3,127	1,557	50%	3,015	1,548	51%	-1%
ZONE IX										
32 Tireman/Central	1,921	1,458	76%	794	551	69%	802	554	69%	1%
39 Fullerton/Jeffries	2,677	2,199	82%	565	308	55%	581	333	57%	8%
41 Fort Wayne	2,664	2,038	77%	431	217	50%	411	208	51%	-4%
43 Glendale/Trumbull	1,511	1,119	74%	689	340	49%	718	362	50%	6%
49 Grand River/Warren	1,677	1,360	81%	280	128	46%	296	145	49%	13%
56 Highland Park	1,844	1,480	80%	351	193	55%	330	189	57%	-2%
TOTAL	12,294	9,654	79%	3,110	1,737	56%	3,138	1,791	57%	3%
99 WAYNE - OTHER	126	71	11%	2	1	50%	3	2	67%	100%
WAYNE COUNTY TOTAL	47,109	35,699	76%	9,905	5,415	55%	9,766	5,445	56%	1%
OUTSTATE TOTAL	62,607	34,005	54%	11,254	4,452	40%	10,070	4,064	40%	-9%
STATE TOTALS	122,697	80,034	65%	21,159	9,867	47%	19,836	9,509	48%	-4%
PROJECT SITE TOTAL	4,691	2,807	60%	21,159	9,867	47%	19,836	9,509	48%	-4%

* Lafayette/Rosa Parks and Schaefer/Six Mile are no longer family services districts. Maddelein/East and West have been combined into Maddelein, District 76.

** The Magnolia District was closed 11/2000. The Harper/Gratiot District was closed 2/2001.

Oakman/Grand River is no longer a general services district.

Note : Percents may not add due to rounding.